UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,723	10/28/2003	Jamal Sarraf	PD-970227B	5541
HUGHES ELECTYRONICS CORPORATION CORPORATE PATENTS & LICENSING			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
P.O. BOX 956	1, MAIL STATION A109 956		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/695,723	SARRAF ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TUAN H. NGUYEN	2618		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 L	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	awn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accom	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/695,723 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 12/17/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rosen (US PAT. 4,831,619) in view of Dent et al. (US PAT. 6,542,716 hereinafter "Dent").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not iDentically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen (US PAT. 4,831,619) in view of Dent et al. (US PAT. 6,542,716 hereinafter "Dent").

Consider claim 1, Rosen teaches a method for improving utilization of satellite capacity of a satellite system that uses multiple uplink and downlink spot beams (col. 2 lines 19-40), comprising: integrating an area-wide broadcast (fig. 9 zones 31, 33, 35,

and 37) downlink beam to be used to support point-to-point transmissions (col. 4 lines 30-41).

Rosen does not explicitly show that downlink beam to be used to support pointto-point transmissions of one or more of the multiple spot beams whose transmission capacity has been exhausted.

In the same field of endeavor, Dent teaches downlink beam to be used to support point-to-point transmissions of one or more of the multiple spot beams whose transmission capacity has been exhausted (col. 1 lines 15-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, downlink beam to be used to support point-to-point transmissions of one or more of the multiple spot beams whose transmission capacity has been exhausted, as taught by Dent, in order for a mobile telephone to determine its absolute position within an accuracy sufficient to inform the network of which paging area it is located in.

Consider claim 2, Rosen further teaches allocating a full primary spectrum of one polarization to uplink and downlink spot beams for point-to-point transmissions (col. 4 lines 58-67), and allocating a full primary spectrum of a polarization opposite to the one polarization to the area-wide broadcast downlink beam for broadcast transmissions (col. 4 lines 64-67).

Consider claim 3, Rosen further teaches assigning the full primary spectrum for broadcast transmissions in minimum-resolution broadcast bands (col. 6 line 66 through col. 7 line 2).

Consider claim 4, Rosen further teaches the minimum-resolution broadcast bands are assigned to any and all uplink spot beams in any combination as configured by a network control center (col. 13 lines 47-62).

Consider claim 5, Rosen further teaches each one of the multiple uplink and downlink spot beams can access the full primary spectrum for broadcast transmissions in increments of one minimum-resolution broadcast band and can transmit on at least (col. 15 lines 33-58).

Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tuan Nguyen/ Examiner Art Unit 2618 /Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618